Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/090,596	PAUL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	

All Participants:

(1) *Necholus Ogden*.

(2) *Melvin Stoltz*.

Status of Application: *non-final*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *14 April 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
McLaughlin

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's rep. agreed to submitt a supplemental to include the citronellol component to overcome the prior art of record. Accordigly, the claims are allowable.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)